wo

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

		V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Cor	nsuelo Burgos-Escarsega	Case Number: <u>09-6190M</u>
and was	represe		12(f), a detention hearing was held on May 14, 2009. Defendant was present anderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention
			FINDINGS OF FACT
I find by	a prepo	onderance of the evidence that:	
	\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the U	nited States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
	\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charge	ged offense, was in the United States illegally.
	×	If released herein, the defendant far Enforcement, placing him/her beyond to or otherwise removed.	ces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported
		The defendant has no significant conta	acts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make to assure his/her future appearance.		United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated	
	X	The defendant has a prior criminal his	tory.
		The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
		The defendant is an amnesty applica substantial family ties to Mexico.	nt but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
		There is a record of prior failure to app	ear in court as ordered.
		The defendant attempted to evade law	enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imprisonment.
at the tin	The Co ne of th	e hearing in this matter, except as note	al findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour od in the record. DNCLUSIONS OF LAW
	1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendation or combination of condition	
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pendir appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a countried States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE			
IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District.			
Services	s suffici	JRTHER ORDERED that if a release to ently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
I	DATE	ED this 14 th day of May, 2009.	
All the second s			

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge